

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/066,695	ROCHA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jacob Meek	2611	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jacob Meek.

(2) David Cushing (Reg.# 28,703).

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 March 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Claims discussed:**  
*Claims 6 and 10*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed 101 problems with claims 6 and 10 in view of interim guidelines and lack of tangible result produced by method claims.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of rejections discussed: Discussed 35 USC 101 problems associated with methods claims 6 and 10 related to lack of tangible result associated with these claims. Requested that applicant provide draft claims to correct tangible result issues for review to verify that 101 problems could be corrected to expedite prosecution..